

O I P E JUL 22 1999 OFFICE

GP 1634
#40



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/486,069	6/7/95	Engelhardt et al.	

EXAMINER	
A. MARSCHER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1655	41

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, RTO personnel):

- (1) RON FEDUS (app rep) (3) _____
(2) ARDIN MARSCHER (Ex) (4) _____

Date of Interview 8-3-99

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all pending in gen, esp. 284

Identification of prior art discussed: Ward et al. (4,711,955)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed whether there is, and of what scope, the support for base modification at other than Ward mod positions. The New Matter sign in the action made 1/6/98, are deemed overcome.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Ardin Marscher
Examiner's Signature

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER

Ronald C. Fedus Reg. No. 32,567	Date
------------------------------------	------

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-83)
08/486,069